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## Tests of Hypotheses for a Single Sample
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## Learning Objectives for Chapter 9

After careful study of this chapter, you should be able to do the following:

1. Structure engineering decision-making as hypothesis tests.
2. Test hypotheses on the mean of a normal distribution using a Z-test or a $t$-test.
3. Test hypotheses on the variance or standard deviation of a normal distribution.
4. Test hypotheses on a population proportion.
5. Use the $P$-value approach for making decisions in hypothesis tests.
6. Compute power \& Type II error probability. Make sample size selection decisions for tests on means, variances \& proportions.
7. Explain \& use the relationship between confidence intervals \& hypothesis tests.
8. Use the chi-square goodness-of-fit test to check distributional assumptions.
9. Use contingency table tests.

## 9-1 Hypothesis Testing

## 9-1.1 Statistical Hypotheses

A statistical hypothesis is a statement about the parameters of one or more populations.

Let $H_{0}: \mu=50$ centimeters per second and $H_{1}: \mu \neq 50$ centimeters per second
The statement $H_{0}: \mu=50$ is called the null hypothesis.
The statement $H_{1}: \mu \neq 50$ is called the alternative hypothesis.

## One-sided Alternative Hypotheses

$H_{0}: \mu=50$ centimeters per second $\quad H_{0}: \mu=50$ centimeters per second or
$H_{1}: \mu<50$ centimeters per second $\quad H_{1}: \mu>50$ centimeters per second

## 9-1 Hypothesis Testing

## Test of a Hypothesis

- A procedure leading to a decision about a particular hypothesis
- Hypothesis-testing procedures rely on using the information in a random sample from the population of interest
- If this information is consistent with the hypothesis, then we will conclude that the hypothesis is true; if this information is inconsistent with the hypothesis, we will conclude that the hypothesis is false.


## 9-1.2 Tests of Statistical Hypotheses

$H_{0}: \mu=50$ centimeters per second
$H_{1}: \mu \neq 50$ centimeters per second

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Reject } H_{0} \\ \mu \neq 50 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fail to Reject } H_{0} \\ & \qquad \mu=50 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reject } H_{0} \\ \mu \neq 50 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Figure 9-1 Decision criteria for testing $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=50$ centimeters per second versus $H_{1}: \mu \neq 50$ centimeters per second.

## 9-1 Hypothesis Testing

## 9-1.2 Tests of Statistical Hypotheses

Table 9-1 Decisions in Hypothesis Testing

| Decision | $H_{0}$ is True | $H_{0}$ is False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fail to reject $H_{0}$ | No error | Type II error |
| Reject $H_{0}$ | Type I error | No error |

Probability of Type I and Type II Error
$\alpha=P($ type I error $)=P\left(\right.$ reject $H_{0}$ when $H_{0}$ is true $)$
$\beta=P$ (type II error) $=P$ (fail to reject $H_{0}$ when $H_{0}$ is false)
Sometimes the type I error probability is called the significance level, or the $\alpha$-error, or the size of the test.

## 9-1 Hypothesis Testing

## Computing the Probability of Type I Error

$$
\alpha=P(\bar{X}<48.5 \text { when } \mu=50)+P(\bar{X}>51.5 \text { when } \mu=50)
$$

The z-values that correspond to the critical values 48.5 and 51.5 are

$$
z_{1}=\frac{48.5-50}{0.79}=-1.90 \quad \text { and } \quad z_{2}=\frac{51.5-50}{0.79}=1.90
$$

Therefore

$$
\alpha=P(Z<-1.90)+P(Z>1.90)=0.028717+0.028717=0.057434
$$

which implies $5.74 \%$ of all random samples would lead to rejection of the hypothesis $H_{0}: \mu=50$.

## 9-1 Hypothesis Testing

Computing the Probability of Type II Error

$$
\beta=P(48.5 \leq \bar{X} \leq 51.5 \text { when } \mu=52)
$$

The $z$-values corresponding to 48.5 and 51.5 when $\mu=52$ are

$$
z_{1}=\frac{48.5-52}{0.79}=-4.43 \quad \text { and } \quad z_{2}=\frac{51.5-52}{0.79}=-0.63
$$

Hence,
$\beta=P(-4.43 \leq Z \leq-0.63)=P(Z \leq-0.63)-P(Z \leq-4.43)$

$$
=0.2643-0.0000
$$

$$
=0.2643
$$

which means that the probability that we will fail to reject the false null hypothesis is 0.2643 .

## 9-1 Hypothesis Testing

## The power of a statistical test

The power of a statistical test is the probability of rejecting the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ when the alternative hypothesis is true.

- The power is computed as $1-\beta$, and power can be interpreted as the probability of correctly rejecting a false null hypothesis.
- For example, consider the propellant burning rate problem when we are testing $H_{0}: \mu=50$ centimeters per second against $H_{1}: \mu$ not equal 50 centimeters per second. Suppose that the true value of the mean is $\mu=52$.

When $n=10$, we found that $\beta=0.2643$, so the power of this test is

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\beta & =1-0.2643 \\
& =0.7357
\end{aligned}
$$

## 9-1 Hypothesis Testing

## 9-1.3 One-Sided and Two-Sided Hypotheses

Two-Sided Test:
$H_{0}: \mu=\mu_{0}$
$H_{1}: \mu \neq \mu_{0}$
One-Sided Tests:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: \mu=\mu_{0} & \\
H_{1}: \mu>\mu_{0} & \text { or }
\end{array} \quad H_{0}: \mu=\mu_{0}, \mu<\mu_{0}
$$

In formulating one-sided alternative hypotheses, one should remember that rejecting $H_{0}$ is always a strong conclusion. Consequently, we should put the statement about which it is important to make a strong conclusion in the alternative hypothesis.

## 9-1 Hypothesis Testing

## 9-1.4 P-Value

The $\boldsymbol{P}$-value is the smallest level of significance that would lead to rejection of the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ with the given data.

$$
P \text {-value is the observed significance level. }
$$

## 9-1 Hypothesis Testing

## 9-1.4 P-Values in Hypothesis Tests

Consider the two-sided hypothesis test $H_{0}: \mu=50$ against $H_{1}: \mu \neq 50$ with $n=16$ and $\sigma=2.5$. Suppose that the observed sample mean is centimeters per second. Figure 9-6 shows a critical region for this test with critical values at 51.3 and the symmetric value 48.7.
The $P$-value of the test is the $\alpha$ associated with the critical region. Any smaller value for $\alpha$ expands the critical region and the test fails to reject the null hypothesis when $\bar{x}=51.3$.
The $P$-value is easy to compute after the test statistic is observed.

$$
\bar{x}=51.3
$$

$P$-value $=1-P(48.7<\bar{X}<51.3)$

$$
=1-P\left(\frac{48.7-50}{2.5 / \sqrt{16}}<Z<\frac{51.3-50}{2.5 / \sqrt{16}}\right)
$$

$=1-P(-2.08<Z<2.08)$

$$
=1-0.962=0.038
$$



Figure 9-6 $P$-value is area of shaded region when $\bar{x}=51.3$

## 9-1 Hypothesis Testing

## 9-1.5 Connection between Hypothesis Tests and Confidence Intervals

A close relationship exists between the test of a hypothesis for $\theta$, and the confidence interval for $\theta$.

If $[I, u]$ is a $100(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence interval for the parameter $\theta$, the test of size $\alpha$ of the hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \theta=\theta_{0} \\
& H_{1}: \theta \neq \theta_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

will lead to rejection of $H_{0}$ if and only if $\theta_{0}$ is not in the $100(1-\alpha) \%$ $\mathrm{Cl}[\mathrm{l}, u]$.

## 9-1 Hypothesis Testing

## 9-1.6 General Procedure for Hypothesis Tests

1. Identify the parameter of interest.
2. Formulate the null hypothesis, $H_{0}$.
3. Specify an appropriate alternative hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{1}$.
4. Choose a significance level, $\alpha$.
5. Determine an appropriate test statistic.
6. State the rejection criteria for the statistic.
7. Compute necessary sample quantities for calculating the test statistic.
8. Draw appropriate conclusions.

## 9-2.1 Hypothesis Tests on the Mean

Consider the two-sided hypothesis test

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \mu=\mu_{0} \\
& H_{1}: \mu \neq \mu_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

The test statistic is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}=\frac{\bar{X}-\mu_{0}}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \tag{9-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 9-2 Tests on the Mean of a Normal Distribution, Variance Known

## 9-2.1 Hypothesis Tests on the Mean

Reject $H_{0}$ if the observed value of the test statistic $z_{0}$ is either:

$$
z_{0}>z_{\alpha / 2} \text { or } z_{0}<-z_{\alpha / 2}
$$

Fail to reject $H_{0}$ if the observed value of the test statistic $z_{0}$ is

$$
-z_{\alpha / 2}<z_{0}<z_{\alpha / 2}
$$

## EXAMPLE 9-2 Propellant Burning Rate

Air crew escape systems are powered by a solid propellant. The burning rate of this propellant is an important product characteristic.
Specifications require that the mean burning rate must be 50 centimeters per second and the standard deviation is $\sigma=2$ centimeters per second. The significance level of $\alpha=0.05$ and a random sample of $n=25$ has a sample average burning rate of $\bar{x}=51.3$ centimeters per second. Draw conclusions.

The seven-step procedure is

1. Parameter of interest: The parameter of interest is $\mu$, the mean burning rate.
2. Null hypothesis: $H_{0}: \mu=50$ centimeters per second
3. Alternative hypothesis: $H_{1}: \mu \neq 50$ centimeters per second

## EXAMPLE 9-2 Propellant Burning Rate

4. Test statistic: The test statistic is

$$
z_{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_{\mathrm{o}}}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}
$$

5. Reject $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}$ if: Reject $H_{0}$ if the $P$-value is less than 0.05 . The boundaries of the critical region would be $z_{0.025}=1.96$ and $-z_{0.025}=-1.96$.
6. Computations: Since $\bar{x}=51.3$ and $\sigma=2$,

$$
z_{0}=\frac{51.3-50}{2 / \sqrt{25}}=3.25
$$

7. Conclusion: Since $z_{0}=3.25$ and the $p$-value is $=2[1-\Phi(3.25)]=0.0012$, we reject $H_{0}: \mu=50$ at the 0.05 level of significance.

Interpretation: The mean burning rate differs from 50 centimeters per second, based on a sample of 25 measurements.

## 9-2 Tests on the Mean of a Normal Distribution, Variance Known

## 9-2.2 Type II Error and Choice of Sample Size

## Finding the Probability of Type II Error $\beta$

Consider the two-sided hypotheses test $H_{0}: \mu=\mu_{0}$ and $H_{1}: \mu \neq \mu_{0}$
Suppose the null hypothesis is false and the true value of the mean is $\mu=\mu_{0}+\delta$, where $\delta>0$.
The test statistic $Z_{0}$ is $Z_{0}=\frac{\bar{X}-\mu_{0}}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}=\frac{\bar{X}-\left(\mu_{0}+\delta\right)}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}+\frac{\delta \sqrt{n}}{\sigma}$

Hence, the distribution of $Z_{0}$ when $H_{1}$ is true is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
z_{0} \sim N\left(\frac{\delta \sqrt{n}}{\sigma}, 1\right) \\
\beta=\Phi\left(z_{\alpha / 2}-\frac{\delta \sqrt{n}}{\sigma}\right)-\Phi\left(-z_{\alpha / 2}-\frac{\delta \sqrt{n}}{\sigma}\right) \tag{9-3}
\end{array}
$$

## 9-2 Tests on the Mean of a Normal Distribution, Variance Known

## Sample Size for a Two-Sided Test

For a two-sided alternative hypothesis:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \simeq \frac{\left(z_{\alpha / 2}+z_{\beta}\right)^{2} \sigma^{2}}{\delta^{2}} \quad \text { where } \quad \delta=\mu-\mu_{0} \tag{9-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Sample Size for a One-Sided Test

For a one-sided alternative hypothesis:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{\left(z_{\alpha}+z_{\beta}\right)^{2} \sigma^{2}}{\delta^{2}} \quad \text { where } \quad \delta=\mu-\mu_{0} \tag{9-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE 9-3 Propellant Burning Rate Type II Error

Consider the rocket propellant problem of Example 9-2. The true burning rate is 49 centimeters per second. Find $\beta$ for the two-sided test with $\alpha=0.05$, $\sigma=2$, and $n=25$ ?

Here $\delta=1$ and $z_{\alpha / 2}=1.96$. From Equation 9-3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta & =\Phi\left(1.96-\frac{\sqrt{25}}{\sigma}\right)-\Phi\left(-1.96-\frac{\sqrt{25}}{\sigma}\right) \\
& =\Phi(-0.54)-\Phi(-4.46)=0.295
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability is about 0.3 that the test will fail to reject the null hypothesis when the true burning rate is 49 centimeters per second.

Interpretation: A sample size of $n=25$ results in reasonable, but not great power $=1-\beta=1-0.3=0.70$.

## EXAMPLE 9-3 Propellant Burning Rate Type II Error - Continuation

Suppose that the analyst wishes to design the test so that if the true mean burning rate differs from 50 centimeters per second by as much as 1 centimeter per second, the test will detect this (i.e., reject $H_{0}: \mu=50$ ) with a high probability, say, 0.90 . Now, we note that $\sigma=2, \delta=51-50=1, \alpha=0.05$, and $\beta=0.10$.

Since $z_{\alpha / 2}=z_{0.025}=1.96$ and $z_{\beta}=z_{0.10}=1.28$, the sample size required to detect this departure from $H_{0}: \mu=50$ is found by Equation $9-4$ as

$$
n \simeq \frac{\left(z_{\alpha / 2}+z_{\beta}\right)^{2} \sigma^{2}}{\delta^{2}}=\frac{(1.96+1.28)^{2} 2^{2}}{(1)^{2}} \simeq 42
$$

The approximation is good here, since
$\Phi\left(-z_{\alpha / 2}-\delta \sqrt{n} / \sigma\right)=\Phi(-1.96-(1) \sqrt{42} / 2)=\Phi(-5.20) \simeq 0$, which is small relative to $\beta$.

Interpretation: To achieve a much higher power of 0.90 we need a considerably large sample size, $n=42$ instead of $n=25$.

## 9-2 Tests on the Mean of a Normal Distribution, Variance Known

## 9-2.3 Large Sample Test

A test procedure for the null hypothesis $H_{0}: \mu=\mu_{0}$ assuming that the population is normally distributed and that $\sigma^{2}$ known is developed. In most practical situations, $\sigma^{2}$ will be unknown. Even, we may not be certain that the population is normally distributed.

In such cases, if $n$ is large (say, $n>40$ ) the sample standard deviation $s$ can be substituted for $\sigma$ in the test procedures. Thus, while we have given a test for the mean of a normal distribution with known $\sigma^{2}$, it can be easily converted into a large-sample test procedure for unknown $\sigma^{2}$ regardless of the form of the distribution of the population.

Exact treatment of the case where the population is normal, $\sigma^{2}$ is unknown, and $n$ is small involves use of the $t$ distribution.

## 9-3 Tests on the Mean of a Normal Distribution, Variance Unknown

## 9-3.1 Hypothesis Tests on the Mean

## One-Sample $\boldsymbol{t}$-Test

Consider the two-sided hypothesis test

$$
H_{0}: \mu=\mu_{0} \text { and } H_{1}: \mu \neq \mu_{0}
$$

Test statistic: $\quad T_{0}=\frac{\bar{X}-\mu_{0}}{S / \sqrt{n}}$
Alternative hypothesis Rejection criteria

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{1}: \mu \neq \mu_{0} & t_{0}>t_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \text { or } t_{0}<-t_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \\
H_{1}: \mu>\mu_{0} & t_{0}>t_{\alpha, n-1} \\
H_{1}: \mu<\mu_{0} & t_{0}<-t_{\alpha, n-1}
\end{array}
$$

## EXAMPLE 9-6 Golf Club Design

An experiment was performed in which 15 drivers produced by a particular club maker were selected at random and their coefficients of restitution measured. It is of interest to determine if there is evidence (with $\alpha=0.05$ ) to support a claim that the mean coefficient of restitution exceeds 0.82 .
The observations are:

| 0.8411 | 0.8191 | 0.8182 | 0.8125 | 0.8750 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.8580 | 0.8532 | 0.8483 | 0.8276 | 0.7983 |
| 0.8042 | 0.8730 | 0.8282 | 0.8359 | 0.8660 |

The sample mean and sample standard deviation are $\bar{x}=\mathbf{O} .8372$ and $s=0.02456$. The objective of the experimenter is to demonstrate that the mean coefficient of restitution exceeds 0.82 , hence a one-sided alternative hypothesis is appropriate.

The seven-step procedure for hypothesis testing is as follows:

1. Parameter of interest: The parameter of interest is the mean coefficient of restitution, $\mu$.
2. Null hypothesis: $H_{0}: \mu=0.82$
3. Alternative hypothesis: $H_{1}: \mu>0.82$

## EXAMPLE 9-6 Golf Club Design - Continued

4. Test Statistic: The test statistic is
5. Reject $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ if: Reject $H_{0}$ if the $P$-value is less than 0.05 .
6. Computations: Since $\bar{x}=0.83725, s=0.02456, \mu=0.82$, and $n=15$, we have

$$
t_{\mathrm{O}}=\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_{\mathrm{O}}}{s / \sqrt{n}} \quad t_{0}=\frac{0.83725-0.82}{0.02456 / \sqrt{15}}=2.72
$$

7. Conclusions: From Appendix A Table II, for a $t$ distribution with 14 degrees of freedom, $t_{0}=2.72$ falls between two values: 2.624, for which $\alpha=0.01$, and 2.977, for which $\alpha=0.005$. Since, this is a one-tailed test the $P$-value is between those two values, that is, $0.005<P<0.01$. Therefore, since $P<0.05$, we reject $H_{0}$ and conclude that the mean coefficient of restitution exceeds 0.82 .

Interpretation: There is strong evidence to conclude that the mean coefficient of restitution exceeds 0.82 .

## 9-3 Tests on the Mean of a Normal Distribution, Variance Unknown

## 9-3.2 Type II Error and Choice of Sample Size

The type II error of the two-sided alternative would be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta & =P\left(-t_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \leq T_{0} \leq t_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \mid \delta \neq 0\right) \\
& =P\left(-t_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \leq T_{0}^{\prime} \leq t_{\alpha / 2, n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Curves are provided for two-sided alternatives on Charts VIIe and VIIf . The abscissa scale factor $d$ on these charts is defined as

$$
d=\frac{\left|\mu-\mu_{0}\right|}{\sigma}=\frac{|\delta|}{\sigma}
$$

For the one-sided alternative $\mu>\mu_{0}$ or $\mu<\mu_{0}$, use charts VIIg and VIIh. The abscissa scale factor $d$ on these charts is defined as

$$
d=\frac{\left|\mu-\mu_{0}\right|}{\sigma}=\frac{|\delta|}{\sigma}
$$

## 9-3 Tests on the Mean of a Normal Distribution, Variance Unknown

## EXAMPLE 9-7 Golf Club Design Sample Size

Consider the golf club testing problem from Example 9-6. If the mean coefficient of restitution exceeds 0.82 by as much as 0.02 , is the sample size $n=15$ adequate to ensure that $H_{0}: \mu=0.82$ will be rejected with probability at least 0.8 ?

To solve this problem, we will use the sample standard deviation $s=0.02456$ to estimate $\sigma$. Then $d=|\delta| / \sigma=0.02 / 0.02456=0.81$. By referring to the operating characteristic curves in Appendix Chart VIIg (for $\alpha=0.05$ ) with $d=0.81$ and $n=15$, we find that $\beta=0.10$, approximately. Thus, the probability of rejecting $H_{0}: \mu=0.82$ if the true mean exceeds this by 0.02 is approximately $1-\beta=1-0.10=0.90$, and we conclude that a sample size of $n=15$ is adequate to provide the desired sensitivity.

## 9-4 Hypothesis Tests on the Variance and Standard Deviation of a Normal Distribution

## 9-4.1 Hypothesis Test on the Variance

Suppose that we wish to test the hypothesis that the variance of a normal population $\sigma^{2}$ equals a specified value, say, or equivalently, that the standard deviation $\sigma$ is equal to $\sigma_{0}$. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a random sample of $n$ observations from this population. To test

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{0}: \sigma^{2}=\sigma_{0}^{2} \\
& H_{1}: \sigma^{2} \neq \sigma_{0}^{2} \tag{9-6}
\end{align*}
$$

we will use the test statistic:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}^{2}=\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \tag{9-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 9-4 Hypothesis Tests on the Variance and Standard Deviation of a Normal Distribution

## 9-4.1 Hypothesis Test on the Variance

If the null hypothesis $H_{0}: \sigma^{2}=\sigma_{0}^{2}$ is true, the test statistic $X_{0}^{2}$ defined in Equation 9-7 follows the chi-square distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom. This is the reference distribution for this test procedure.
Therefore, we calculate $\chi_{0}^{2}$, the value of the test statistic $X_{0}^{2}$, and the null hypothesis $H_{0}: \sigma^{2}=\sigma_{0}^{2}$ would be rejected if

$$
\chi_{0}^{2}>\chi_{\alpha / 2, n-1}^{2} \quad \text { or if } \quad \chi_{0}^{2}<\chi_{1-\alpha / 2, n-1}^{2}
$$

where $\chi_{\alpha / 2, n-1}^{2}$ and $\chi_{1-\alpha / 2, n-1}^{2}$ are the upper and lower $100 \alpha / 2$ percentage points of the chi-square distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom, respectively. Figure 9-17(a) shows the critical region.

## 9-4 Hypothesis Tests on the Variance and Standard Deviation of a Normal Distribution

## 9-4.1 Hypothesis Test on the Variance

The same test statistic is used for one-sided alternative hypotheses. For the one-sided hypotheses.

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{0}: \sigma^{2}=\sigma_{0}^{2}  \tag{9-8}\\
& H_{1}: \sigma^{2}>\sigma_{0}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

we would reject $H_{0}$ if $\chi_{0}^{2}>\chi_{\alpha, n-1}^{2}$, whereas for the other one-sided hypotheses

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{0}: \sigma^{2}=\sigma_{0}^{2}  \tag{9-9}\\
& H_{1}: \sigma^{2}<\sigma_{0}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

we would reject $H_{0}$ if $\chi_{0}^{2}<\chi_{\alpha-1, n-1}^{2}$. The one-sided critical regions are shown in Fig. 9-17(b) and (c).

## 9-4 Hypothesis Tests on the Variance and Standard Deviation of a Normal Distribution

## 9-4.1 Hypothesis Tests on the Variance



FIGURE 9-17 Reference distribution for the test of $H_{0}: \sigma^{2}=\sigma_{0}^{2}$ with critical region values for (a) $H_{1}: \sigma^{2} \neq \sigma_{0}^{2}$. (b) $H_{1}: \sigma^{2}>\sigma_{0}^{2}$. (c) $H_{1}: \sigma^{2}<\sigma_{0}^{2}$.

## 9-4 Hypothesis Tests on the Variance and Standard Deviation of a Normal Distribution

## EXAMPLE 9-8 Automated Filling

An automated filling machine is used to fill bottles with liquid detergent. A random sample of 20 bottles results in a sample variance of fill volume of $s^{2}=0.0153$ (fluid ounces) ${ }^{2}$. If the variance of fill volume exceeds 0.01 (fluid ounces) ${ }^{2}$, an unacceptable proportion of bottles will be underfilled or overfilled. Is there evidence in the sample data to suggest that the manufacturer has a problem with underfilled or overfilled bottles? Use $\alpha=0.05$, and assume that fill volume has a normal distribution.

Using the seven-step procedure results in the following:

1. Parameter of Interest: The parameter of interest is the population variance $\sigma^{2}$.
2. Null hypothesis: $H_{0}: \sigma^{2}=0.01$
3. Alternative hypothesis: $H_{1}: \sigma^{2}>0.01$

## 9-4 Hypothesis Tests on the Variance and Standard Deviation of a Normal Distribution

## Example 9-8

4. Test statistic: The test statistic is

$$
\chi_{0}^{2}=\frac{(n-1) s^{2}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}
$$

5. Reject $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}$ : Use $\alpha=0.05$, and reject $H_{0}$ if $\chi_{0}^{2}>\chi_{0.05,19}^{2}=30.14$
6. Computations:

$$
\chi_{0}^{2}=\frac{19(0.0153)}{0.01}=29.07
$$

7. Conclusions: Since $\chi_{0}^{2}=29.07<\chi_{0.05,19}^{2}=30.14$, we conclude that there is no strong evidence that the variance of fill volume exceeds 0.01 (fluid ounces) ${ }^{2}$. So there is no strong evidence of a problem with incorrectly filled bottles.

## 9-4.2 Type II Error and Choice of Sample Size

For the two-sided alternative hypothesis:

$$
\lambda=\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{0}}
$$

Operating characteristic curves are provided in Charts VIi and VIj:

## 9-4 Hypothesis Tests on the Variance and Standard Deviation of a Normal Distribution

EXAMPLE 9-9 Automated Filling Sample Size
Consider the bottle-filling problem from Example 9-8. If the variance of the filling process exceeds 0.01 (fluid ounces) ${ }^{2}$, too many bottles will be underfilled. Thus, the hypothesized value of the standard deviation is $\sigma_{0}=0.10$. Suppose that if the true standard deviation of the filling process exceeds this value by $25 \%$, we would like to detect this with probability at least 0.8 . Is the sample size of $n=20$ adequate?
To solve this problem, note that we require

$$
\lambda=\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{0}}=\frac{0.125}{0.10}=1.25
$$

This is the abscissa parameter for Chart VIIk. From this chart, with $n=20$ and $\lambda=1.25$, we find that $\beta \simeq 0.6$. Therefore, there is only about a $40 \%$ chance that the null hypothesis will be rejected if the true standard deviation is really as large as $\sigma=0.125$ fluid ounce.
To reduce the $\beta$-error, a larger sample size must be used. From the operating characteristic curve with $\beta=0.20$ and $\lambda=1.25$, we find that $n=75$, approximately. Thus, if we want the test to perform as required above, the sample size must be at least 75 bottles.

## 9-5 Tests on a Population Proportion

## 9-5.1 Large-Sample Tests on a Proportion

Many engineering decision problems include hypothesis testing about $p$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: p=p_{0} \\
& H_{1}: p \neq p_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

An appropriate test statistic is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}=\frac{X-n p_{0}}{\sqrt{n p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right)}} \tag{9-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 9-5 Tests on a Population Proportion

EXAMPLE 9-10 Automobile Engine Controller A semiconductor manufacturer produces controllers used in automobile engine applications. The customer requires that the process fallout or fraction defective at a critical manufacturing step not exceed 0.05 and that the manufacturer demonstrate process capability at this level of quality using $\alpha=0.05$. The semiconductor manufacturer takes a random sample of 200 devices and finds that four of them are defective. Can the manufacturer demonstrate process capability for the customer?

We may solve this problem using the seven-step hypothesis-testing procedure as follows:

1. Parameter of Interest: The parameter of interest is the process fraction defective $p$.
2. Null hypothesis: $H_{0}: p=0.05$
3. Alternative hypothesis: $H_{1}: p<0.05$

This formulation of the problem will allow the manufacturer to make a strong claim about process capability if the null hypothesis $H_{0}: p=0.05$ is rejected.
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## 9-5 Tests on a Population Proportion

## Example 9-10

4. The test statistic is (from Equation 9-10)

$$
z_{0}=\frac{x-n p_{0}}{\sqrt{n p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right)}}
$$

where $x=4, n=200$, and $p_{0}=0.05$.
5. Reject $H_{0}$ if: Reject $H_{0}: p=0.05$ if the $p$-value is less than 0.05 .
6. Computations: The test statistic is

$$
z_{0}=\frac{4-200(0.05)}{\sqrt{200(0.05)(0.95)}}=-1.95
$$

7. Conclusions: Since $z_{0}=-1.95$, the $P$-value is $\Phi(-1.95)=0.0256$, so we reject $H_{0}$ and conclude that the process fraction defective $p$ is less than 0.05 . Practical Interpretation: We conclude that the process is capable.

## 9-5 Tests on a Population Proportion

Another form of the test statistic $Z_{0}$ is

$$
Z_{0}=\frac{X / n-p_{0}}{\sqrt{p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right) / n}} \quad \text { or } \quad Z_{0}=\frac{\hat{P}-p_{0}}{\sqrt{p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right) / n}}
$$

## 9-5 Tests on a Population Proportion

## 9-5.2 Type II Error and Choice of Sample Size

For a two-sided alternative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\Phi\left(\frac{p_{0}-p+z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right) / n}}{\sqrt{p(1-p) / n}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{p_{0}-p-z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right) / n}}{\sqrt{p(1-p) / n}}\right) \tag{9-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the alternative is $p<p_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=1-\Phi\left(\frac{p_{0}-p-z_{\alpha} \sqrt{p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right) / n}}{\sqrt{p(1-p) / n}}\right) \tag{9-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the alternative is $p>p_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\Phi\left(\frac{p_{0}-p+z_{\alpha} \sqrt{p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right) / n}}{\sqrt{p(1-p) / n}}\right) \tag{9-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 9-5 Tests on a Population Proportion

## 9-5.3 Type II Error and Choice of Sample Size

For a two-sided alternative

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\left[\frac{z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right)}+z_{\beta} \sqrt{p(1-p)}}{p-p_{0}}\right]^{2} \tag{9-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a one-sided alternative

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\left[\frac{z_{\alpha} \sqrt{p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right)}+z_{\beta} \sqrt{p(1-p)}}{p-p_{0}}\right]^{2} \tag{9-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 9-5 Tests on a Population Proportion

## Example 9-11 Automobile Engine Controller Type II Error

Consider the semiconductor manufacturer from Example 9-10. Suppose that its process fallout is really $p=0.03$. What is the $\beta$-error for a test of process capability that uses $n=200$ and $\mathrm{a}=0.05$ ?

The $\beta$-error can be computed using Equation 9-12 as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta & =1-\Phi\left[\frac{0.05-0.03-(1.645) \sqrt{0.05(0.95) / 200}}{\sqrt{0.03(1-0.03) / 200}}\right] \\
& =1-\Phi(-0.44)=0.67
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the probability is about 0.7 that the semiconductor manufacturer will fail to conclude that the process is capable if the true process fraction defective is $p=0.03(3 \%)$. That is, the power of the test against this particular alternative is only about 0.3. This appears to be a large $\beta$-error (or small power), but the difference between $p=0.05$ and $p=0.03$ is fairly small, and the sample size $n=200$ is not particularly large.

## 9-5 Tests on a Population Proportion

## Example 9-11

Suppose that the semiconductor manufacturer was willing to accept a $\beta$-error as large as 0.10 if the true value of the process fraction defective was $p=0.03$. If the manufacturer continues to use $a=0.05$, what sample size would be required?

The required sample size can be computed from Equation 9-15 as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & =\left[\frac{1.645 \sqrt{0.05(0.95)}+1.28 \sqrt{0.03(0.97)}}{0.03-0.05}\right]^{2} \\
& \simeq 832
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $p=0.03$ in Equation 9-15.
Conclusion: Note that $n=832$ is a very large sample size. However, we are trying to detect a fairly small deviation from the null value $p_{0}=0.05$.

## 9-7 Testing for Goodness of Fit

- The test is based on the chi-square distribution.
- Assume there is a sample of size $n$ from a population whose probability distribution is unknown.
- Let $O_{i}$ be the observed frequency in the ith class interval.
- Let $E_{i}$ be the expected frequency in the $i$ th class interval.

The test statistic is

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}} \tag{9-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 9-7 Testing for Goodness of Fit

## EXAMPLE 9-12 Printed Circuit Board Defects

## Poisson Distribution

The number of defects in printed circuit boards is hypothesized to follow a Poisson distribution. A random sample of $n=60$ printed boards has been collected, and the following number of defects observed.

| Number of <br> Defects | Observed <br> Frequency |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 32 |
| 1 | 15 |
| 2 | 9 |
| 3 | 4 |

## 9-7 Testing for Goodness of Fit

## Example 9-12

The mean of the assumed Poisson distribution in this example is unknown and must be estimated from the sample data. The estimate of the mean number of defects per board is the sample average, that is, $(32 \cdot 0+15 \cdot 1+9 \cdot 2+4 \cdot 3) / 60=$ 0.75 . From the Poisson distribution with parameter 0.75 , we may compute $p_{i}$, the theoretical, hypothesized probability associated with the ith class interval. Since each class interval corresponds to a particular number of defects, we may find the $p_{i}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=P(X=0)=\frac{e^{-0.75}(0.75)^{0}}{0!}=0.472 \\
& p_{2}=P(X=1)=\frac{e^{-0.75}(0.75)^{1}}{1!}=0.354 \\
& p_{3}=P(X=2)=\frac{e^{-0.75}(0.75)^{2}}{2!}=0.133 \\
& p_{4}=P(X \geq 3)=1-\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)=0.041
\end{aligned}
$$

## 9-7 Testing for Goodness of Fit

## Example 9-12

The expected frequencies are computed by multiplying the sample size $n=60$ times the probabilities $p_{i}$. That is, $E_{i}=n p_{i}$. The expected frequencies follow:

| Number of Defects | Probability | Expected <br> Frequency |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.472 | 28.32 |
| 1 | 0.354 | 21.24 |
| 2 | 0.133 | 7.98 |
| 3 (or more) | 0.041 | 2.46 |

## 9-7 Testing for Goodness of Fit

## Example 9-12

Since the expected frequency in the last cell is less than 3, we combine the last two cells:

| Number of <br> Defects | Observed <br> Frequency | Expected <br> Frequency |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 32 | 28.32 |
| 1 | 15 | 21.24 |
| 2 (or more) | 13 | 10.44 |

The chi-square test statistic in Equation 9-16 will have $k-p-1=3-1-1=1$ degree of freedom, because the mean of the Poisson distribution was estimated from the data.

## 9-7 Testing for Goodness of Fit

## Example 9-12

The seven-step hypothesis-testing procedure may now be applied, using $\alpha=0.05$, as follows:

1. Parameter of interest: The variable of interest is the form of the distribution of defects in printed circuit boards.
2. Null hypothesis: $H_{0}$ : The form of the distribution of defects is Poisson.
3. Alternative hypothesis: $H_{1}$ : The form of the distribution of defects is not Poisson.
4. Test statistic: The test statistic is

$$
\chi_{0}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(o_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}}
$$

## 9-7 Testing for Goodness of Fit

## Example 9-12

5. Reject $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}$ if: Reject $H_{0}$ if the $P$-value is less than 0.05 .
6. Computations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{0}^{2}=\frac{(32-28.32)^{2}}{28.32}+\frac{(15-21.24)^{2}}{21.24} \\
+\frac{(13-10.44)^{2}}{10.44}=2.94
\end{gathered}
$$

7. Conclusions: We find from Appendix Table III that $\chi_{0.10,1}^{2}=2.71_{\text {and }}$ $\chi_{0.05,1}^{2}=3.84$. Because $\chi_{0}^{2}=2.94$ lies between these values, we conclude that the $P$-value is between 0.05 and 0.10 . Therefore, since the $P$-value exceeds 0.05 we are unable to reject the null hypothesis that the distribution of defects in printed circuit boards is Poisson. The exact $P$-value computed from Minitab is 0.0864 .

## 9-8 Contingency Table Tests

Many times, the $n$ elements of a sample from a population may be classified according to two different criteria. It is then of interest to know whether the two methods of classification are statistically independent;

Table 9-2 An $r \times c$ Contingency Table

|  |  | Columns |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $c$ |
| Rows | 1 | $O_{11}$ | $O_{12}$ | $\ldots$ | $O_{1 c}$ |
|  | 2 | $O_{21}$ | $O_{22}$ | $\ldots$ | $O_{2 c}$ |
|  | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
|  | $r$ | $O_{r 1}$ | $O_{12}$ | $\ldots$ | $O_{r c}$ |

Sec 9-8 Contingency Table Tests
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## 9-8 Contingency Table Tests

We are interested in testing the hypothesis that the row-and-column methods of classification are independent. If we reject this hypothesis, we conclude there is some interaction between the two criteria of classification. The exact test procedures are difficult to obtain, but an approximate test statistic is valid for large $n$. Let $p_{i j}$ be the probability that a randomly selected element falls in the $i j$ th cell, given that the two classifications are independent. Then $p_{i j}=u_{i} v_{j}$, where $u_{i}$ is the probability that a randomly selected element falls in row class $i$ and $v j$ is the probability that a randomly selected element falls in column class $j$. Now. assuming independence, the estimators of $u_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{u}_{i}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{c} O_{i j} \\
& \hat{v}_{j}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{r} O_{i j} \tag{9-17}
\end{align*}
$$

## 9-8 Contingency Table Tests

Therefore, the expected frequency of each cell is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i j}=n \hat{u}_{i} \hat{v}_{j}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{c} O_{i j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} O_{i j} \tag{9-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for large $n$, the statistic

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{0}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{c} \frac{\left(O_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}} \tag{9-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

has an approximate chi-square distribution with $(r-1)(c-1)$ degrees of freedom if the null hypothesis is true. We should reject the null hypothesis if the value of the test statistic $\chi_{0}^{2}$ is too large. The $P$-value would be calculated as the probability
 fixed-level test, we would reject the hypothesis of independence if the observed value of the test statistic $\chi_{0}^{2}$ exceeded $\chi_{\alpha,(r-1)(c-1)}^{2}$.

## 9-8 Contingency Table Tests

A company has to choose among three health insurance plans. Management wishes to know whether the preference for plans is independent of job classification and wants to use $\alpha=0.05$.

The opinions of a random sample of 500 employees are shown in Table 9-3.
Table 9-3 Observed Data for Example 9-14

|  | Health Insurance Plan |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job | 1 | 2 | 3 | Totals |
| Classification | 160 | 140 | 40 | 340 |
| Salaried workers | $\underline{40}$ | $\underline{60}$ | $\underline{60}$ | $\underline{160}$ |
| Hourly workers | 200 | 200 | 100 | 500 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |

To find the expected frequencies, we must first compute $\hat{u}_{1}=(340 / 500)=0.68$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{u}_{2}=(160 / 500)=0.32, \hat{v}_{1}=(200 / 500)=0.40, \hat{v}_{2}=(200 / 500)=0.40, \text { and } \\
& \hat{v}_{3}=(100 / 500)=0.20
\end{aligned}
$$

## 9-8 Contingency Table Tests

The expected frequencies may now be computed from Equation 9-18.
For example, the expected number of salaried workers favoring health insurance plan 1 is

$$
E_{11}=n \hat{u}_{1} \hat{v}_{1}=500(0.68)(0.40)=136
$$

The expected frequencies are shown in below table

|  | Health Insurance Plan |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job | 1 | 2 | 3 | Totals |
| Classification | 160 | 140 | 40 | 340 |
| Salaried workers | $\underline{40}$ | $\underline{60}$ | $\underline{60}$ | $\underline{160}$ |
| Hourly workers | $\underline{200}$ | 200 | 100 | 500 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |

## 9-8 Contingency Table Tests

## Example 9-14

The seven-step hypothesis-testing procedure may now be applied to this problem.

1. Parameter of Interest: The variable of interest is employee preference among health insurance plans.
2. Null hypothesis: $H_{0}$ : Preference is independent of salaried versus hourly job classification.
3. Alternative hypothesis: $H_{1}$ : Preference is not independent of salaried versus hourly job classification.
4. Test statistic: The test statistic is

$$
\chi_{0}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{c} \frac{\left(o_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}}
$$

5. Reject $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}$ if: We will use a fixed-significance level test with $a=0.05$. Therefore, since $r=2$ and $c=3$, the degrees of freedom for chi-square are $(r-1)(c-1)=(1)(2)=2$, and we would reject $H_{0}$ if $\chi_{0}^{2}>\chi_{0.05,2}^{2}=5.99$.

## 9-8 Contingency Table Tests

## Example 9-14

## 6. Computations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{0}^{2}= & \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\left(o_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}} \\
= & \frac{(160-136)^{2}}{136}+\frac{(140-136)^{2}}{136}+\frac{(40-68)^{2}}{68} \\
& +\frac{(40-64)^{2}}{64}+\frac{(60-64)^{2}}{64}+\frac{(60-32)^{2}}{32} \\
= & 49.63
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Conclusions: Since $\chi_{0}^{2}=49.63>\chi_{0.05,2}^{2}=5.99$, we reject the hypothesis of independence and conclude that the preference for health insurance plans is not independent of job classification. The $P$-value for $\chi_{0}^{2}=49.63$ is $P=1.671 \times 10^{-11}$. (This value was computed from computer software.)

## 9-9 Nonparametric Procedures

## 9-9.1 The Sign Test

- The sign test is used to test hypotheses about the median $\bar{\mu}$ of a continuous distribution.
- Suppose that the hypotheses are $H_{0}: \tilde{\sim}$
- Test procedure: Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a random sample from the population of interest. Form the differences $X_{i}-\tilde{i}_{,}, i=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$.
- An appropriate test statistic is the number of these differences that are positive, say $\mathrm{R}^{+}$.
- $P$-value for the observed number of plus signs $r^{+}$can be calculated directly from the binomial distribution.
- If the computed $P$-value is less than or equal to the significance level $\alpha$, we will reject $H_{0}$.
- For two-sided alternative $H_{0}: \sim$
- If the computed $P$-value is less than the significance level $\alpha$, we will reject $H_{0}$.


## EXAMPLE 9-15 Propellant Shear Strength Sign Test

Montgomery, Peck, and Vining (2012) reported on a study in which a rocket motor is formed by binding an igniter propellant and a sustainer propellant together inside a metal housing. The shear strength of the bond between the two propellant types is an important characteristic. The results of testing 20 randomly selected motors are shown in Table 9-5. Test the hypothesis that the median shear strength is 2000 psi , using $\alpha=0.05$.

| Table 9-5 Propellant Shear Strength Data |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Observation <br> $i$ | Shear <br> Strength <br> $x_{i}$ | Differences <br> $x_{i}-2000$ | Sign |
| 1 | 2158.70 | 158.70 | + |
| 2 | 1678.15 | -321.85 | - |
| 3 | 2316.00 | 316.00 | + |
| 4 | 2061.30 | 61.30 | + |
| 5 | 2207.50 | 207.50 | + |
| 6 | 1708.30 | -291.70 | - |
| 7 | 1784.70 | -215.30 | - |
| 8 | 2575.10 | 575.10 | + |
| 9 | 2357.90 | 357.90 | + |
| 10 | 2256.70 | 256.70 | + |
| 11 | 2165.20 | 165.20 | + |
| 12 | 2399.55 | 399.55 | + |
| 13 | 1779.80 | -220.20 | - |
| 14 | 2336.75 | 336.75 | + |
| 15 | 1765.30 | -234.70 | - |
| 16 | 2053.50 | 53.50 | + |
| 17 | 2414.40 | 414.40 | + |
| 18 | 2200.50 | 200.50 | + |
| 19 | 2654.20 | 654.20 | + |
| 20 | 1753.70 | -246.30 | - |
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## EXAMPLE 9-15 Propellant Shear Strength Sign Test - Continued

The seven-step hypothesis-testing procedure is:

1. Parameter of Interest: The variable of interest is the median of the distribution of propellant shear strength.
2. Null hypothesis: $H_{0}: \tilde{\sim}$ si
3. Alternative hypothesis: $H_{1}: \tilde{\sim} \quad$ ssi
4. Test statistic: The test statistic is the observed number of plus differences in Table 9-5, i.e., $r^{+}=14$.
5. Reject $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}$ : If the $P$-value corresponding to $r^{+}=14$ is less than or equal to $\alpha=0.05$
6. Computations : $r^{+}=14$ is greater than $n / 2=20 / 2=10$.

$$
\text { P-value: } \quad \begin{aligned}
P & =2 P\left(R^{+} \geq 14 \text { when } p=\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& =2 \sum_{r=14}^{20}\binom{20}{r}(0.5)^{r}(0.5)^{20-r} \\
& =0.1153
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Conclusions: Since the $P$-value is greater than $\alpha=0.05$ we cannot reject the null hypotheses that the median shear strength is 2000 psi .

## 9-9 Nonparametric Procedures

## 9-9.2 The Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test

- A test procedure that uses both direction (sign) and magnitude.
- Suppose that the hypotheses are $H_{0}: \mu=\mu_{0} \quad H_{1}: \mu \neq \mu_{0}$

Test procedure : Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a random sample from continuous and symmetric distribution with mean (and Median) $\mu$. Form the differences $X_{i}-\mu_{0}$.

- Rank the absolute differences $\left|X_{i}-\mu_{0}\right|$ in ascending order, and give the ranks to the signs of their corresponding differences.
- Let $W^{+}$be the sum of the positive ranks and $W^{-}$be the absolute value of the sum of the negative ranks, and let $W=\min \left(W^{+}, W^{-}\right)$.
Critical values of $W$, can be found in Appendix Table IX.
- If the computed value is less than the critical value, we will reject $H_{0}$.
- For one-sided alternatives $H_{1}: \mu>\mu_{0}$ reject $H_{0}$ if $W^{-} \leq$critical value
$H_{1}: \mu<\mu_{0} \quad$ reject $H_{0}$ if $W^{+} \leq$critical value
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## EXAMPLE 9-16 Propellant Shear Strength-Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test

Let's illustrate the Wilcoxon signed rank test by applying it to the propellant shear strength data from Table 9-5. Assume that the underlying distribution is a continuous symmetric distribution. Test the hypothesis that the median shear strength is 2000 psi, using $\alpha=0.05$.

The seven-step hypothesis-testing procedure is:

1. Parameter of Interest: The variable of interest is the mean or median of the distribution of propellant shear strength.
2. Null hypothesis: $H_{0}: \mu=2000 \mathrm{psi}$
3. Alternative hypothesis: $H_{1}: \mu \neq 2000 \mathrm{psi}$
4. Test statistic: The test statistic is $W=\min \left(W^{+}, W^{-}\right)$
5. Reject $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}$ if: $W \leq 52$ (from Appendix Table IX).
6. Computations : The sum of the positive ranks is $w^{+}=(1+2+3+4+5+6+11+13+15+16+17+18+19+20)=150$, and the sum of the absolute values of the negative ranks is $w=(7+8+9+10+12+14)=60$.

## EXAMPLE 9-16 Propellant Shear Strength-Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test

| Observation <br> $\boldsymbol{i}$ | Differences <br> $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 0}$ | Signed Rank |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 16 | 53.50 | 1 |
| 4 | 61.30 | 2 |
| 1 | 158.70 | 3 |
| 11 | 165.20 | 4 |
| 18 | 200.50 | 5 |
| 5 | 207.50 | 6 |
| 7 | -215.30 | -7 |
| 13 | -220.20 | -8 |
| 15 | -234.70 | -9 |
| 20 | -246.30 | -10 |
| 10 | 256.70 | 11 |
| 6 | -291.70 | -12 |
| 3 | 316.00 | 13 |
| 2 | -321.85 | -14 |
| 14 | 336.75 | 15 |
| 9 | 357.90 | 16 |
| 12 | 399.55 | 17 |
| 17 | 414.40 | 18 |
| 8 | 575.10 | 19 |
| 19 | 654.20 | 20 |

$W=\min \left(W^{+}, W^{-}\right)=\min (150,60)=60$
7. Conclusions: Since $W=60$ is not $\leq 52$ we fail to reject the null hypotheses that the mean or median shear strength is 2000 psi .

## Important Terms \& Concepts of Chapter 9

$\alpha$ and $\beta$
Connection between hypothesis tests \& confidence intervals

Critical region for a test statistic
Goodness-of-fit test
Homogeneity test
Inference
Independence test
Non-parametric or distribution-free methods
Normal approximation to nonparametric tests
Null distribution
Null hypothesis

Power of a test
P -value

## Ranks

Reference distribution for a test statistic
Sample size determination for hypothesis tests
Significance level of a test
Sign test
Statistical hypotheses
Statistical vs. practical significance
Test statistic
Type I \& Type II errors
Wilcoxen signed-rank test

1 \& 2-sided alternative hypotheses
Operating Characteristic (OC) curves

